GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
ABSTRACT

PS – SE - Departmental proceedings initiated against Smt. M.V.Rajya Lakshmi, Dy.Director (Retd.), O/o DSE, AP, the then Director (I/c), SCERT, AP - Enquiry conducted - Charges held proved - Imposition of penalty of 2% cut in pension for a period of one year under rule 9 of AP Revised Pension Rules, 1980 - Orders - Issued.

SCHOOL EDUCATION (VIG) DEPARTMENT

G.O.RT.No. 111 Dated: 09-04-2025

Read the following:-

1. G.O.Rt.No.149, School Education (Vig) Dept, dt.30.06.2021.
2. Written statement of defence of Smt. M.V.Rajya Lakshmi, Dy.Director(Retd.) received through the DSE, A.P, vide Lr.Rc.No.11021/168/2018-EST1, dt.02.09.2021.
3. G.O.Rt No.244, School Education (Vig) Dept., dt: 07.10.2021.
4. From Smt. P.Parvathi, Director, RMSA & Enquiry Officer, Lr.No. Spl3/E.O/2022, Dt.13.10.2022.
5. Govt.Memo No.298291/SE.Vig.I(1)/2016, Dt.21.01.2023.
6. From Smt. M.V.Rajya Lakshmi, Deputy Director(Retd.), O/o CSE, explanation dated 01.03.2023.
7. Govt.Memo No.298291/SE.Vig.I(1)/2016-1, Dt.04.07.2023.
8. From Smt. M.V.Rajya Lakshmi, Deputy Director(Retd.), O/o CSE, Explanation dated Nil received through the DSE, A.P, vide Lr.Rc.No. 11022/47/2019-EST1 -CSE, dt.02.09.2023.
9. Govt.Lr. No.298291/SE.Vig.I(1)/2016, Dt.22.12.2023 and 27.08.2024.
10. From APPSC, Lr.No.GAD03-APPSC0DCC/24/2024-DC, SEC-APPSC Dt. 30.01.2024, 15.07.2024 and dt:01.11.2024.

*****

ORDER:-

Whereas, in the reference 1st read above, the following Article of Charges have been framed against Smt. M.V.Rajya Lakshmi, Dy. Director (Retd.), O/o DSE, AP, the then Director (I/c), SCERT, A.P under rule 9 of AP Revised Pension Rules, 1980.

Article – I

Smt. M.V.Rajya Lakshmi, while acting in the capacity of Director (I/c),SCERT, A.P., has constituted the inspection committees for affiliation for the following colleges duly appointing Team Member Sri G.Narasimha Reddy, the then Lecturer, SCERT, A.P., and Team Leaders. Whereas Sri G.Narasimha Reddy has only inspected the colleges without Team Leader and submitted the reports to the Director, SCERT. Smt. M.V.Rajya Lakshmi has accepted the proposals signed by team member Sri G.Narasimha Reddy, the then Lecturer, SCERT, A.P., without the involvement of Team leaders and recommended the same for higher

(Contd..2)

authorities which is highly irregular and shows the gross negligence. Thus she has violated the Rule 3(1) (2) & (3) of A.P.C.S (Conduct) Rules, 1964, thus failed to discharge her legitimate duties and responsible for so many lapses which is derogatory to the prestige of the Govt.

1. Sri Vigneswara D.Ed. College, Peridepi, Prakasam District
2. Sham-ul-uloom D.Ed. College, Podili, Prakasam District
3. Alumin D.Ed. College, Podili, Prakasam District
4. CSR D.Ed. College, Podili, Prakasam District
5. Vijetha D.Ed. College. Podili, Prakasam District
6. Sri Chaitanya D.Ed. College, Podili, Prakasam District
7. Arucheleswara D.Ed. College, Racherla, Prakasam District
8. K.Nagi Reddy D.Ed. college, Dupadu, Kurnool District

Article – II

The Professors of Teacher Education Department are the responsible Officers for verification of proposals relating to grant of affiliation/renewal of D.Ed Colleges before recommending to the Government. But in majority of files Sri G.Narashimha Reddy Lecturer of Computer Education has played major role in processing the files by ignoring the Professors of Teacher Education Department. Moreover the said proposals were not processed through concerned Superintendent, Assistant Director who are being the competent in processing the files and such proposals were accepted by Smt. M.V.Rajya Lakshmi acting in the capacity of Director, SCERT, A.P. is purely violation of official procedure and failed to discharge her legitimate duties.

Article –III

That Smt. M.V.Rajya Lakshmi acting in the capacity of Director, SCERT, A.P has appointed Sri G.Narashimha Reddy Lecturer of Computer Education as member of both affiliation/renewal inspection committee and member of the verification committee at SCERT in many cases and submitted the proposals to the Government is not correct and deviation of official procedure, which is highly irregular and failed to devotion of duty as per Rule 3 (5) of APCS (Conduct) Rules, 1964.

Article –IV

The original orders in the note file for constituting affiliation/ renewal inspection committees to D.Ed Colleges were strike of and entered another name without any specific reasons which is a violation of procedure. It is nothing but tampering of records.

Article – V

Smt. M.V.Rajya Lakshmi acting in the capacity of Director (I/c), SCERT, A.P, in the case of Sri Nandi D.El.Ed College, Nandikotkur, Kurnool district, as per note orders at para 21 affiliation inspection committee was constituted with RJDSE, Kadapa and G.N.Reddy. But the Director, SCERT name was written in place of G.N.Reddy by the H.O.D. of Teacher Edn. Department. Again the name of the Director, SCERT was rounded and

(Contd..3)

name of the G.N.Reddy was ticked without signature of the Officer for the change and without approval of Director for affiliation inspection committee in the note file and deviated the Rule 3(1) of APCS (Conduct) Rules, 1964.

Article – VI

Smt. M.V.Rajya Lakshmi acting in the capacity of Director (I/c), SCERT, A.P., in the cases of Sri Lakshmi Venkateswara D.Ed. College, Bireddy Nagar, Kurnool District, Bharathi College of Education, Gangavaram, Guntur District and SS&N College, Narasaraopet, Guntur District, the H.O.D. of Teacher Education has raised certain objections, instructions to obtain original certificates, comprehensive report for taking necessary action and the Director also agreed the same. But, without attending/ rectifying the said directions/instructions of the H.O.D. of Teacher Education approval orders for SSC (Staff Selection Committee) were issued.

Article – VII

In the case of Sri Valli Subrahmanyaeswara D.Ed, College, Banaganapalli, Kurnool District, as per the note orders at page no.8 affiliation inspection committee was constituted with the RJDSE, Kadapa and Director, SCERT on 10-05-2016 and accordingly orders are issued. Subsequently in the note file and in the orders, the name of G.N.Reddy was added with different writing and without approval which is nothing but tampering.

Thus Smt. M.V.Rajya Lakshmi has clearly violated the rules and procedure adopted as per the G.O.Ms.No.65, Edn(PE-Prog -II) Dept., Dt.11.11.2013 and G.O.Ms.No.18, SE (PE-Prog-II) Dept., Dt.11.11.2013 apart from not following official decorum while processing the files. And thus exhibited lack of integrity, devotion to duty and conduct which is unbecoming of a Govt. Servant and also violated the Rule 3(1) (2) & (3) of the APCS (Conduct) Rules, 1964 and responsible for so many lapses.

2. And whereas, in the reference 2nd read above, the Charged Officer Smt. M.V.Rajya Lakshmi, Dy.Director (Retd.), O/o DSE, AP has submitted her statement of defence and she has denied the charges.

3. And whereas, in the reference 3rd read above, Smt. P.Parvathi, Director, RMSA has been appointed as Enquiry Officer to conduct inquiry in to the charges framed against the Charged Officer Smt. M.V.Rajya Lakshmi, Dy.Director (Retd.), O/o DSE, AP.

4. And whereas, in the reference 4th read above, the Enquiry Officer has submitted enquiry report. In the enquiry report, the Enquiry Officer has stated that the charges framed against the Charged Officer Smt. M.V.Rajya Lakshmi, is held proved.

5. And whereas, in the reference 5th read above, the enquiry report has been

(Contd..4)

communicated to the Charged Officer Smt. M.V.Rajya Lakshmi, Dy.Director (Retd.) to submit her written representation, if any, on the findings of the Enquiry Officer.

6. And whereas, in the reference 6th read above, the Charged Officer Smt.M.V.Rajya Lakshmi, Dy. Director (Retd.) has submitted her representation on the findings of the Enquiry Officer.

7. And whereas, Government after careful examination of the matter, have provisionally decided to impose the penalty of 2% cut in pension for a period of one year against the Charged Officer Smt. M.V.Rajya Lakshmi, Dy.Director (Retd.), O/o DSE, AP. Accordingly, in the reference 7th read above, a show cause notice has been issued to Smt. M.V.Rajya Lakshmi, Dy. Director (Retd.), O/o DSE, AP, as to why 2% cut in pension for period of one year shall not be imposed against her, as the charges were held proved.

8. And whereas, in the reference 8th read above, the Charged Officer Smt. M.V.Rajya Lakshmi, Dy. Director (Retd.) has submitted her explanation.

9. And whereas, Govt. have decided to impose the proposed penalty against Smt. M.V.Rajya Lakshmi, Dy. Director (Retd.), O/o DSE, AP, the then Director (I/c), SCERT and requested the Andhra Pradesh Public Service Commission, for their concurrence in the matter vide reference 9th read above. The APPSC, letter dated 01.11.2024 in the reference 10th read above, has agreed with the proposal of the Government.

10. Government, after careful examination of the matter, hereby impose the penalty of 2% cut in pension for a period of one year against the Charged Officer Smt. M.V.Rajya Lakshmi, Dy. Director (Retd.), O/o DSE, AP, the then Director (I/c), SCERT, AP under rule 9 of AP Revised Rules, 1980.

11. The Director of School Education, A.P. shall take further necessary action, accordingly.

(BY ORDER AND IN THE NAME OF THE GOVERNER OF ANDHRA PRADESH)

KONA SASIDHAR
SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT

To
Smt. M.V. Rajya Lakshmi, Dy. Director (Retd.), O/o DSE, AP, the then Director (I/c) SCERT through the Director of School Education, A.P.
The Director of School Education, A.P, Venkatadri Towers, Mangalagiri.
Copy to:
The Director, SCERT, A.P., Vijayawada.
The AG, AP, Vijayawada.
The DTO, Krishna.
SF/SC.

//FORWARDED BY ORDER//

SECTION OFFICER